

दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरसा

लमुसरण Mashúplon

Pिसिस संख्या $F_{1}(5) \mid 91-11 \rho$

Dro-fl-Minutis of zulirnal Planning Commith Hectivy Reldon 18.1.91


Sub: Draft minutes of the meeting of the Internal Planning Committee held under the chairmanship of Commr. (Plg.) DDA on 18.1.91 in Conference Hall, Vikas Minar, New Delhi.

## Item Ne. 1

> Subs Allotment of land masuring 1.5 acres at vili. Masudpur to Khadi \& Vili. Industries Comission, New Delhi Erom temporary licence fee basis to permanen lease basis. F $13(43) / 80 / C R C$

This case was discussed in detail and it was decided that till Masudpur Dairy farm is shifted, this activity may continue on temporary lincence basis. In MPD 2001, the Masudpur Dairy Farm has been indicated, but due to public representations, the Dairy farm will required to be shifted and, the refore, till such time, this activity can continue on temporary licence basis.

I tem No. 2
Sub: Regularisation/allotment of existing Shriram Mandir in B-5.Safderjung Enclave F. 8(12)/89-Instl.

This was discussed in detail and it was decided

DD(Innt) 4.2 .91 that the referenc be ms de to the Land Section te knew what action has been taken by them for removing the encreachments.

Item ${ }^{\mathbb{N}}$. 3
Sub $=$ Allotment of 0.5 acre aतditional land to Sh. R. R.Mehta Educational Trust for Upgrading it to Miततle School F18(21) $3 \dot{6}$-Instl.

This was dicussed in detail and it was decided

Sent to TD $(P) I$ on 1.2 , 9 for placif it int.C

Item No. 5
Subs Proposed parking bldg. behind Hindustan Times Building 18-20 K.G.Marg, Delhi. F $16(10) / 90 / \mathrm{M}$. P。

A propesal of prking building behind the industan Times Building on K.G. Marg was discus sed in detail
urII for
drast-reply
4.2 .91
A.DCMP) II For drat afender of 4.2 .92

For droftrelly
UHE 4.2-91
 and it was decided that this plot should be developmen for undergrund parking, similar to the parking developed by NDMC near Palika Bazar in connaught Place cish oul-ay Commec:al/ophice un

Subs Resl.quarters for Watch and ward staff at Police Wireless Transmitting station, Siri Village New Delhi F 3(54)/90/MP

Permission fer residential quarters for Watch \& Ward Staff at Police Wireless Transmisting station was discussed in detail and it was decided thtt only 9 qrs. for watch \& ward staff may be allowed and these quarters-should be proposed within the boundaries of the wireless station suxaxhasx $x \times m$ as indicateat on the land use plan of MPD 2001. The case the lingh is $t /$ commilie

Item Ne. 7
Sub: Amusement Park in DelhisNew Delhi. F 3(13) $/ 76 / \mathrm{M}$. P .

The proposal of amsement park in Dekhi was discussed in detail and it was decided that permission cannot be granted for isolated development of this park.

Item Ne. 8

> Subs Cancellation of allotment/lease in $r / \theta$ plot no. 49 block no. $B$ in Lawrence Road Industrial Area. $F 6 A(77) / 65 / L S B(I)$

JDCPII for befo mect
This case was discussed in detail and it was decided thet lekisage be examined in light of recommendations 46 MPD 2001 and the case be brought before the $T / C$.

PR/DD/MP/9 1/

## meeting notace

The next meeting of the Internal ilanning Committee under the Chairmenship of Commissioner (Plg.) DDA has been fixed for 18.1 .91 at $2.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. in the Conference Room of Vikas Minar. The list/agenda of the cases to be discussed is enclosคd. You are requested to attonत the meeting.


Copy to:

1. PS to Commr.(Plg.) for information of the later.
2. Director ( $\triangle$ C\&P)
3. Director (TYA)
4. Director ( $A P \& B$ )
5. Chief zrchitect
6. Jt. Dir. (P) I
7. Jt. Dir. (P) II
8. Jt. Dir. (ZP)
9. Jt. Dir. (T)
10. Jt. Dir. (TYA)
11. Jt. Dir. (Plg. D\&FI)
12. Jt. Dir. (Narela)
13. Jt. Vir. (Bldg.)
14. Jt. Dir. (WC\&SA)
15. Project Plenner (Rohini)
16. Project Planner (Dwarka)

Items for consiतoration of Int-rnel Plannino committee meeting

Sub: Allotment of land mossuring 1.5 ecros et village Masudpur to Khaतi \& Villaqe Inतustries Commiesion, New Delhi from temnorery licence fee basis to permenent lease basis. F13(43)80-CRC

To be Riresenteत by Jt.Dir. (P) I
Khedi \& Villege Industries commission wes alloted lend measuring 1.5 acres at village $M$ asudour on concessionel reate of Rs. 2/- per sq. yds. on temporary licence fee besis for one year. This period of one year has hion reneweत for the period upto 7.4.85 from time to time. The nerinत xy hevoñ 7.4.85 have als? been extonied unto 7.4.89 under the orders of the then commr. (Lands) but it was alsの intimato to the Dv. Dir. NHaतi \& Village Industrifs commission, Now Delhi that nn extonsion beyond 7.4.89 wi 11 will be grented and arranged to hend over vecent possession of the land on 7.4.89. Instead of handing over the vacant possession the Commission kept representing to allot this land to them on permanen "Iease basis to enable them to sct up the Renewable Energy Complex at Masudpur.

The- Chief zrchitect vide his note dt. 10.2.87 is opined that they are not in fevour of continuance of this use in an area surrounded by residential flets. The same should therefore need to be shiffed or distintinued. Decision to discontinue the licence was taken on the recommendetion of the Chief Architect, DDA but since the $v$ arious representations were being received from various quarters the then DIM was of the view that a meeting could be called to sort out the problems. However this could not be done and the then DIM hed desired to inspect the site but same also could not be cerried out as he left out for abroad. Now the file has been referred to plenning wing for carving out e suitable. alternative site for Biogas plant oresontly functionịng in villege $M \Rightarrow$ soodour noar the Dairy farm.

It has been oninod thet the Bio gas nlant and the तairy farms have to co-exist and thorefore shauld bo located in the vicinity of each other. In the lenत use plan of MPD-2001 Masoodpur Dairy ferm has hoen shown as it is this means the Masoodpur Dairy farm is to continue in the present location. Therefore it has been des red to discuss the case in the Internal Planning Committee Meeting.

## Itom NA. 2 .

Sub: Regularisation/allatment $\neg f$ existing Shriram Menrir in B-5 Sefderiung Encleve F. 8(12)/89nInstl.

Te be presintea by $J D(P)$ I
Reference to the note of D.D. (IL) dated 26.7.90. requrding regularisetion/allotment of land unauthorisedly encrached for Shriram Mandir in B-5 Safdarjung Enclave locẹted between plot No.B-5/151 and Meitri Mandir Guruswara. Similer request has also been received from Shriram Mandir Semiti for regularising the allutment of existing temple at the present locetion.
2. The case hes been examined and it is observed thet the cocroachment, as per the approveत layout plen hẹs been mede in the aree which was shown for circulation/open spaces, after obtaining ? तetailed existing survey and keeping "area for proper circulation some adjustment can be made to retain the temple at the existing site by taking some land from themand in lieu ellotting'some extra land. The proposal thus do not envisage to obstruct the smooth traffic movement in this area. The area of the existing temple is about $315.00 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mtr}$. It is proposee that the samiti may be asked to surrender the encroachment from $92.72 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mtr}$. for smoch treffic movement an in lieu ?llot them 82.68 sq.mtr. thwards West upto the doveloped park boundary. The total aree of the tomple thus will be 305.15 sq.mtr.
3. The above prooosal amounts to the marification in the leyout plen an is placed in the Internel Meeting of Commissioner (Plg.) for consiferation.

Item no. 3
Sub : Allotment of 0.5 acre, adतitional land to Sh. R. R.Mehta Eतucational Trust for Upgrading it to Miततle School F18( 21) 86 -Instl.

To be presented by $J D(P)$ I
Request has been received from $M / s$. R. R. Mehta Educational Trust for considering the allotment of $1 / 2$ acre lands at the back of their primery school in saket. The possession of 1 acre land wes handed over to them on 13.6.88. The request has been basce basically thet their school has been upgraded to a middle school with the approval of Directorate of Education.
2. Earlier their request was rejccted considering that an area of $1 / 2$ acre is substantially sub standard for a midतle school. The decision was communicated to the society on 24.1.89. Another request received frpm Directorate of Education was also rejected on the ground that the matter already stands examined. The applicant has again requested vide his letter dated 12.3.90. The case has been re-examined in detail and considering that the vacant land measuring $1 / 2$ acre cannot be put to any extensive use due to non availability of proper access. In the Northern strip a number of religious structures have alreary come up. It was therefore recommenter to allot this land to the school so that it will be exclusively utilised as play field out of which $50 \%$ will be allotteत as "Instl." area for which FAR will be permitted in the main allotment.
3. The matter was placed before the Internal Planning Committee on 11.10 .90 but the same was deferred due to pending decision of the Technical Committee for a policy decision in middle school cases, which do not figure in the MPD-2001. The T.C. in its meeting held on 15.10.90 under item No. 1 has taken a view that the sites which are more than 0.6 HA in area can be considered for allotment to a middle school on the recommendation by Delhi zdministration. Eतucation Department. In this case this has been done.

The matter is again brought for the consideration of Internal Planning Committee.

Sub:-Allotment of add-itional land to Shri Sanatam Fharam Sabha at Kalka Ji
F.19(41)/80-InstI.
. . Io be presented by JD(E)I
As per the approved leyout lan for group housing
in Block-3 Alakhnanda 4 religious sites were earmarked adjacent to paris/open area. Wile allottine sites no. $\mathrm{R}-3$ \& $\mathrm{R}-4$ a strip of land was left in between these twic. Though the land allotted to these institutions were according to the approval/layout plan.
2. Shri Sanatan Dharam Sabhe was allotted the plot no.4 measuring 400 sq.yds. The Sabha subsequently encroached the open area left between $R-3 \& R-4$ referred to above which was to be maintained as per green. The sabha has started running a nursery school and a request is being made repeatedly to reqularise this encroachment for the construction of a nursery school and also consider to allot a strip of open area for play field activities. 3. The case was examined time to time and was rejected due to the reason that the sabha has been allotted sufficient land as per the norms and the nursery school activity is not permitted in the religious area and for which they were requested to apply for a nuasery school as per the DDA policy.
4. Lately the matter was discussed in the Internal

Planning Co-ordination Committee meeting held on 5.9.90
and was rejected due to the position explained above. 5. The applicant has again represented indicating that planning Department has misunderstood the whole case. There request was not for a nursery school but for the expansion of religious activities. On perusal of file it is observed that they have been insisting earlier for allotment of additional land for nursery school and play ground and was rejected. Even if the request is
considered for expansion of religious activities, the allotment is according to the norm and no further allotment needs to be considered because it will have a simultaneous effect in the remaining three cases of religious institutions located in the close proximity of this sabha. As per MPD-2001 the norms for religious site is $400 \mathrm{sq} \cdot \mathrm{yd}$. only.
6. The matter is placed again for the consideration
of Intemal Planning Co-ordination Committee.

Itのm N？． 5

Sub：Pronoser？frking kuiľing notna Hindust＝n Times zuilding 18－20，K．G．Merg，Dolhi． F16（10）90－MP

 to the mo $C$ stuay rmont on Mröfic \＆Transoortation for report the sites for prrking were inentified．Therefore，the oro－ Dosal mav be fxaminea with regard to mumber of cer spece to the be orovised on this site in focordance with the studies of the NDRtC．A．ccordingly the cise $h=s$ been ex－mined by Jt．Dir．（ ZP ） and it has steted thet noms for berking huilrings＝rn not evpilalele as per MPD－2nの1，it is aarmarkon as commercial whoress in D－ 1 （ADnrovar zonal Dovolonmont Plan）it is shown far narkind．In View of fecute narkinc snace the followinc is nronncer：

1．Ground Counrage $25 \%$
2．FAR 100 （4storov onlv）
3．Max．heinoht 45 ft ．
4．Besotent for Darking For narking \＆Sarvicos and below the．ground coverage．

A．11 the four floors to be used for Derking and no office spece shound be allowed except for weth end werd．Surfect parking may also be Ellowed after taking due cere for ludsceping and shell bo subject $t$ eソnrovel of DU：C．

Thm mattre is nlfoen hofore tho Intornel Plenning committee for its coneianration．
$-7-$

Sub: Residontial guarters for Watch enन Vard staff at Police wireless trensmitting stetion, Siri"villege, New Delhi. $\mathrm{F} 3(54) 90-\mathrm{MP}$ Prosontra hy $\dot{L}(\bar{M} P)$.

Dy. Dir...(I\&B) for Directoreto Phlico Tolocommunic=tions have requestef for nermission rogerding conetruction of residenctiel Guerters for Watch \& Ward Staff नt Police Wireless Transmitting Station at Siri Vi.llage, Nfw Delhi. They have requested for construction for 20 suerters for watch and ward staff on the area of 1938 sc.yds. in a totel complex of 32 acres. The construction proposed is single storey. The

The cese has been examined and as per mPD-2001 the land use is transmission site under public and semi public facility. Under public and semi public PS-6 residential flets for watch and ward are nermitted. Refer nage 154 of Gazetto of India Extra ordinary dt. 1.8.9n. However the cese has also been examined by Jt. Dir. (P) I and he desired thet change of land from District parks to Residential. The case is submitted to Internal planning Committee for its consideration.

Itan nว. 7

F3 ( $13 \mathrm{M} / 76-\mathrm{MP}$
In be presontea DO(MP)
 Derk et Kapochore , ihi. The rofiaroce hes boen received from AAdl. Distt. Magistr=to, Delhi Eeriifor ales the lettre was recoivel from alul. Uiutt. Megi itnau, Jeuhi and tha seme was examined and it was imfurmed thet there is no such policy or guidolinus for luviny makemont ask on rave te lan in Dolhi. With refercnce t, our reply , itl. Listt. Mesistrete, Lelhi has further stetey thet in vinw of the growing oovuletion of Delhi it is felt that there is e noossity of geme facility. It is further stata thet zonu Ghar can not cone up with the raguiraments with its limited items of games. The proposel is from the promotfrs $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ Polo imusement Park Ltt at Kspasher Delhi on the lan owned by the promoters as stated the pr posal has been recommended by Tourism Deptt. Govt. of Inci = and Delhi Finance Corporetion is finencing the project. It is enviseged that this park could be first of its tvpe in Delhi an would attract large number of crowd incluring tourists. In the ent he has requested thet administration would like to heve definite views of DDh ebout this oroject. The case wes examines by the Master Elan Section an at Dace 1名1 of Gezette of In ia it is stater that in the urban oxtrnsion whorervor possible water bodics (Lakos) should be Aeveloper to act as a major lung soaces en to attract migratory birds and for improving the microclimate. $i$ special recreational area on the pattern of disneyland/amusement perk coul be developed in the land becoming aveileble for the chennolisation of river Yamune. The district perks in the urben extension would elso incluade special park such es chillren perks, chileren traffic training perks, picnic huts etc.

It ix was desired thet cese be put up before Internel Planning committec for its consinorstion.

Itom no. 8

Sub: Cancellation of allotment/lease in respect of plot no. 49 Block No. B in Lewrence Roed Industrial aree.
F6A (77) 65-LSB-I
To be bresentan hy Dir. (IP\&B)
This cese is regarding permission for -dditionel trade of readymade gaments in Lerrenc Road for M/s J. P. Menufacturing Company at B-49 Lewrence Roan Inal. Hra. Divt no. B/49 is an alternative plot allotto $t=\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ J. . . anufacturing company in shifting programme for mon confirmi to confirming area and the plot was allotted for food preservetion iş cleerly mention in close-13 of the lease dead. This unt has requested earlier for the ddditional trede which was turned down by the IPC mpeting held on 12.8 .83 because Lawrence Roed indi. area is meant for food and its allied pooducts (light incustrial erea) Now the case has egein been sefer to this Planning unit for allowing of aतditional trade of readymade garments. DD (IL) has indiceteत that asper the chart placeत in file no. F6A(30) 65-LSB(I) for A./26 Lawrence Roan indl. area trane of readymade garments is allowed as per MPD-2001. It has been observed at site that one plot is being used into two unit by putting a wll in between which has been shown in red on the building plan Laid on table In one of the portion the party is using manufacturing of food pooduct and the other portion is being used of readymede garments under the name and style of craft international.

Moreover unauthorised construction has been carried out on first floor by this unit which is being used for readymade purpose. A notice to this effect was also issued on 10.12.89 for rectificetion of the same but the same has not been done till now. As per function analysis and lease deeत in manufacturing itcm is foon processing But as per MPD-2001 at page $180 /$ or ant serial no. 69 the trace of reanymate garment is allowed. The plot has been unauthorisedly sub तivided and is being used for two items and moreover axeess area has been covered on the first. floor.

It was desired to put up the case in the Internal
Planning committee meeting for its consideration.

