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## DELHI DEVELORMENT AUTHORITY (MASTER PLAN SECTION)

Draft minutes of Technical Committee meeti $g$ held on 12th April 1990 at 10.00 A.M. in the Conference Room efVikas Minar, 5th Floor, Delhi Development Authortty, I.P. eEstate, New Delhi.

The following were present:

## DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:

```
1. Sh. V.S. Murti, Engineer Member (on the chair)
2. Sh. J. \C. Ghambir, Cemmissioner (Plg.)
3. Sh. B.L. Khurana E.E.(E)
4. Sh. S.C. Gupta. Director(DC&P)
5. Sh." R.G. Gupta, Director(TYA)
6. Sh. Santosh Auluck, Addl. C.A.
7. Sh. C.B. Sharma, Dir.(Horticuiture)
8. Sh. P.N. Dengre, Jt. Director(TYA)
9. Sh. I.C. Jain, Dirctor(APQB)
10. Sh. V.N. Sharma,Jt. Director(Plg D&J)
11. Sh. K.K. Banopadhyay, Jt. Director(Rohini)
12. Sh. Prakash Narain, Jt. Director(T)
13. Sh. A.K. Jain, Jt. Director(ZP)
14. Sh. Chander Ballab, Jt. Director(Plg.)
15. Sh. N.K. Aggarwal, Jt. Director(Plg.)
16. Sh. C.P. Rastogi, Jt. Director(NC&SA)
17. Sh. H.S. Sikkz. DY. Director(Plg.)
18. Sh. Pradeep Behari, DY. Director(Design)
19. Sh. S.P. Bansal, Dy. Director(PPW)
20. Sh- Jarnail Singh, Architect/Habitate planner
21. Sh. H.P. Saxena, Asstt. Director(MP)
22. Sh. Surendra Srivastava, DÝ. Director(MP) (Convenor)
    LAND & DEVELOPMENT OFFICE:
23. Sh. I.D. Gupta, Building Officer,
    TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING OFFICE:
24. Sh. B.K. Arora, A.P.
    POLICE DEPARTMENT:
25. Sh. A.S. Cheema, ACP (Traffic)
    MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DEIHI:
26. Sh. B. B. N anda, C.E.
27. Sh. M.P. Jain, E.E.(Water)
    NEW DEIHI MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE:
28. Sh. S.S.K, Bhagat, C.E. (C)
29. Sh. H.S. Bindra, Dy. Chief ARCA
30. Sh. C.M. Paruth, S.E. (R)
    DEIHI ADMINISTRATION:
31. Sh. B.S. Duggal, S.A.
    P.W.D. DELHI ADMINISTRATION:
32. Sh. B.N. Sihha, S.E.(C-II)
33. Sh. H.K. Srivastava, S.E.(C-V) For Item No. 1 & 2
34. Sh. D. Sanyal, Consultant
    DWE&SDU
35. Sh. P.K. Jain, S.E.(P)DR
36. Sh. P.S. Manch anda
    SCHODL OF PLANNING & ARCHITECTURE:
37. Pref. N. Ranganathan
    DELHI TRANSPORT CORPORATION:
38.7
    Sh% K.C. T1wari,T.P%
```

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 12.4.90

Item NO, 1
Sub:-Proposal for grade separator at Safdarjung Hospital.

$$
\text { F. } 5(7) / 87-M P
$$

The Technical Committee endorsed its earliedr recommendation made in its meeting held on 15.5.89 and recommended a three level grade separator on the crossing of RingRoad and Aurobindo Marg with the follwwing conditions:-
a. The proposed rotary should be as low as/possible.
b. The flyover should, also be as low as possible with improvised hifropectr engineering designs.
c. In future proposalshyorea levels should be study indicated rather than spot levals. profrosal.
d. The locational aspects should biso be taken into consideration.

Item NO. 2
Sub:-Three level grade separator proposal on Ring Road and Rohtak Road intersection (General store crossing).
F. 5 (9)/89-MP.

The Technical Committee approved the three level grade separator proposfll on Ring Road and general Rohtak Road intersection(General Stores crossing). The Technical Committee however, desired that the following details may be supplied by the PWD, DA before the case is secommencied to the Authority for finzl approval:
a. The details of design of the cross road i.e. Rohtak Road may be worked out till the next intersection on either side is submitted to DDA.
b. The affected structures and trees should also be indicated on a copy of the plan, showing the chmplete design of Grade separator.
in Item No. 3
Sub:-Planning of grade separators road alignment plans \& improvement of junctions/intersections on East West corridors between Tilak Marg and Najafgarh Road intersection with regard tothe proposed HRTS Systems.
F.5(43)/74mP/Pt-I。

The Technical Committee listen to the views of the
various members and desired the following actions: a. Since every member has been informed of the issue, the concerned members should keep in touch with RITES.
b. RITES would be requested to make a small presentation once~in-every thaceemonthor before the start of the Technical Committee meeting so as to enable the members to understand and coordinate various proposals with the proposal of East-west Corridor metro System.
c. The action as suggested at ' $B \prime^{\prime}$ above would also hold good for CRRI in respect of the study being carried out by the institute. .

Item No. 4
Sub:- Acquisition of land measuring $750 \mathrm{mtrs} \times \mathrm{x}$ 550 mtrs. for the proposed $600 / 900 \mathrm{MW}$ Gas Turbine at Bawana Side.
F.14(31)/89/CRC/DDA.

The Technical Committee approved the proposal
for location of $600 / 900 \mathrm{MW}$ Gas Turbine at Bawana With the following conditions:
a. The gas pipe line system to be cleared by Technical Comncttee of DDA.
2. A clearance to be obtained by DESU from Ministry of Environment regarding pollution.

Item No. 5
Sub:- Intergrated freight complex in Gazipur Kondli
Area.
F.WS( 3)/83-Dir. (CP)

The Technical Committee desired that this item be brought to the committee again/ wi th the detailed study regarding quantitative inputs, traffic and Transportation and issues arising out of the proposed location on DelhiU.P. Boarder.

Item No. 6
Sub:- comprehensive redevelopment scheme at Janpath Lane. F. 16(89) 81-MP•

The Technical Committee desired that the scheme should
$\checkmark$ be brought before the committee after clearance fin Comnemb
 is received and kerpuig ni view the objeesions raid by Some of 14 plot hopeless.
Item No.7.8.9, and 10 were not taken up/aiscussed, postponed.

(MASTER PLAN SETION)

Agenda for the meeting of Technical Committee to be held on 12.4 .90 at $10.00 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$. in the Conference Room of Vikas Minar at 5th Floor. Delhi Development Authority I.P. Estate, New Delhi.

Item No. Zubject

Page No
1.

Proposal for grade separator at Safdar- 1-5 jung Hospital.

$$
\mathrm{F} \cdot 5(7) / 87-\mathrm{MP}
$$

2. 

Three level grade separator proposal 6-8 on Ring Road and Rohtak Road intersection (General Store Crossing).

$$
\text { F. } 5(9) / 89-\mathrm{MP}
$$

3. Planning of grade separators road alighment plans \& improvement of junctions/ 9-10 intersections on East-West corridors between Tilak Marg and Najafgarh Road intersection with regard to the proposed HRTS System.

$$
\mathrm{F} \cdot 5(43) / 74-\mathrm{MP} / \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{I}
$$

4. Acquisition of land measuring $750 \mathrm{mtrs} . x$ 550 mtrs. for the proposed 600/900 MW Gas Turbine at Bawana Side.

$$
\text { F. } 14(31) / 89 / \mathrm{CRC} / \mathrm{DDA} .
$$

5. Intergrated freight complex in Gazipur Kondli Area. $12-15$

$$
\text { F.WSY(3)/83-Dir }(C P)
$$

6. Comprehensive sedevelopment scheme at Janpath lane,

$$
\mathrm{F} \cdot 16(89) / 81-\mathrm{MP}
$$

7. Acquisition of permanent \& temporary land minor $\mathbb{N o} .2,3,4,5,6,7$, \& extension 17-18 of Kashopur minor underthe scheme of Kashopur Effluent irrigation scheme phase-III.
F. $14(23) / 89 / \mathrm{CRC} / \mathrm{DDA}$

Sub:-Propisal for grade seperator at Safdarjung
Hospital Crossing.
F. $5(7) / 87-\mathrm{MP}$.

The intersection on Ring Road with Aurbindo Marg is one of ine most important intersections on the southern part of Ring Road. The proposed $r / w$ of Ring Road \& Aurbindo lorg are 63 \& 60 mts . respectively. The intersection is a prionity intersection for grade seperator identified in the Draft ${ }^{M}$ aster Plan for Delhi Perspective 2001.

Initially the grade seperator proposal of Safdarjung Intersection submitted by S.E.(PWD) vide letter No. $23(187) / \mathrm{E}$. i $\sim V^{\prime} /$ クA/5394-97 dated 5.8.88. This case was disoussed in tre first Technical Committee meeting held 22.9.88.

Suptd. Tnginaer vide letter no.23(187)/88-PWDCV/DV-II/ 6495-6500 dated 28.9 .88 submitted a three level grade seperator at Safdarjung Cossing crossing which was discussed in the DDA's Technical Committee meeting held on 27.3.89.

The revised proposal was submitted by S.E.. PWD, Circle-V ष̀ide letter no.23(333)/PWD/ECI/W-III/2275 dated 31.10.88. This was discussed in the Technical Committee meet $n$ : $5 \cdot 5.89$. The decision of this $T / C$ is as under:-
"The Technical Committee observed that this item was also discussed earlier and the observations made in the agenda item on yarious issues were explained by Dr. Sanyal $\ldots$.....bsecnantly discussed in detail, as per plan display by him. It ... +hot there is no provision made in the detailed intersection design for the smooth and unhindered flow of the cyclists. It was also observed that the provision of the rotary as a three level grade seperator is not a very happy somution. Therefore, it was decided that a sub-committee consisting of Dr.A.C. Sarnn, CRRI. Shri D. Sanyal, NATPAC and 'Sh, O.P. Goel, Chief :Elik . Mov rork our further details for a better circulation and segregetion of cyclists, pedestrians and vehicular traffic keeping in wiew whether it should
be through a traffic, signal system or a retory at the ground level. With the above observations, the I Technical Comrittee approved the three level grade seperator for this intersection. After the above rechnical Comittee meeting the case was referred to PVD for DUAC comments. Supta. Engs.PWD, CirclemV vide letter No. 23(187) 90-PWDCV/DA/DVII dated 21.12.89 have submitted the following two alternative grade seperator proposal at intersection of Ring Road and Aurbindo Marg alongwith the DUAC's observations made in the consequtive meetings held on $16.6 .89,26.7 .89,29,7,89,1,8.89$ and 26.9 .89 . The two alternatives are:
a. Three-level proposal: This includes flyover on Ring. Road and underpass on Aurbindo Marg. The three level proposal are earlier submitted by PWD with the addition of pedestrian confourse floor by raising the rotary and depressing the underpass by about 1.5 mt . each.
b. Two Ievel proposal: Two level proposal with the Ring Road is underpass and leaving the rest of the things more or less as they are now. The above two proposal were discussed in the Technical Committee meeting held on and March, 1990 under the Chairmanship of E.M. DDA. The decision of the meeting are as under-
"Chief Engineer, Delhi Administration in-fomed that in case of Punjabi Bagh flyover DUAC has suggested a different desigh of the flyover as compared to one under reference. Therefore, Technical Committee desired that in the first instarce, the Punjabi Bagh flyover which is approved by DUAC may be obtained from Chief Enginecr PUD, Delhi Administration and after going through that design this proposal could be discussed. Delhi Admn. may also send the Technical experts views on the depressed land system proposed by DUAC". Chief Engineer, PWD-I vide letter No.5(7)/89-W/BA dated 7.3.90 have submitted the following information as desired by ':Technical Committee meeting held on2.3.90

The proposal of taking Ring Road as well as Aurbincio Marg below ground was discussed in great detail in the technical group mecting of DUAC on 29.7.89. In addition to PWD(DA) Officers, Shri R.P.Sikke, $A D G(R)$, MOST and Shri Shitala Saran, Retired Thgineer-in-Chief of U.P.PWD and Managing Director of U.P.Bridge Corporation joined the discussions. Sn.B.C:Roy of Gonsulting Engineering Services, a specialist in structural designs was also present,
 level was not favoured due to the following:-

1. The busbays on Ring Road will need relocation and travel length for patients to Hospitals will increase.
2. The drainage problem due to sub soil water or storm water will increase considerably and any breakdown in electrical/mechanical system will result in flooding. In cese of such as eventuality only rotary will be available for fast traffic which will fail to meet the traffic requirements. Also in the event of flooding; vehicles may get trapped and underwater rescue operations may not be possible in time.
3. The constructionoof an underpass below another underpass will be time consuming and costly compared to equivalent fly over.
4. The rotary will have to be raised to top tier and a raiscd rotary will slopping approaches will be hazardous.
5. Considering the relative merits with reference to time of construction, cost and functional utilities, the proposal-1 is the right answer.
C.E.IWD-I, DA has also sntimated that:

In case of Punjabi Bagh intersection, as three level grade seperator with the Ring Road as flyover, Rohtak Road as underpess and turning traffic at grade has been approved at conceptual stage by DUAC vide their No.19(5)89-DUAC dt. 2.11.89. The pedestrian movenent is through a concourse floor below the rotary."

The summary of the observations mady by DUAC in various meetings held on the subject are placed at Annexure- .

The above said two proposals were examined and DDA's observations are as follows:-

## I. For three-level proposal:

i) As per revised proposal the height of the flycver have been taken +9.00 mts. from the existing road levei on Ring Road.
ii) The depressed/underbridge have been suggested at (二) 8.50 mts . below the existing road level.
iii) A rotary has been suggested above the road level at the intersection at a hgight cf +2.00 mts . for right turning traffic.
II. For Two-level proposal.

1) In this proposal pedestrian crossing on intersection area have been indicated on surface, no pedestrian subways proposal. have been suggested on any arms while the -subway is possible.

On the basis of the above observations it miay be further noted that:

1) For three-level proposal it would not be possible to provide seperate service/slip road for both local traffic and cyclist.
i1) Three level solutions will give advantages to the through traffic only at intersection area. But the through traffic on Aurbindo Marg will not get much benefits in terms of speed due to the congested portion of I.N.A.Market and Yusuf Sarai Market areas and also three signalised intersections between I.I.T. \& A.I.M.S. intersection.
2) At grade + 2. omt. rotary junctions should not be much helpful for turning traffic. Moreover, it would he a tight condition for diverging \& coverging flows and would be problems for weaving length also.

## -: 5 :-

For the above two proposals the details of the improvement required on all the arms upto next important intersection for effextive utlisation of the proposed flyover/underpass have not been incorporated in the proposal.

The feasibility report on the proforma already sent to PWD has not been submitted.

The above observations of C.E.(PWD)I and the observations of the DUAC are placed before the T/C meeting for its consiceration.

Itom No ? ?

Sub:-Three level grade separator proposal on Rine
Road and Rolntak Roail Intersection(General
Store Crossinc) near Punjabi Bach.
F. 5(9)/89-ME.

Locaicion: The interscotion of Ring Road with Fohtak Roal at General Store Crossing near Punjabi Bagh is one of the irmortant intersection where a three level grade separaton has been proposed. The F/W of Rohtak Road is 61 mt . \& ing Road is 63 mt . at this intersection.
Back-ground: S.B. Circle II,PWD(DA) vide letter No. 23 (270)88-PWD CII/D-VI/206 dated 31.1 .89 submitted the proposal of a three level grade separator at this intersection. This was comsidered in the Technical Committee meeting held on 27.3.89. The decision of the Technical Committee is as under:
"The case was explained by Sh. Sanyal of NATPC \& it was observed that there is a heavy right turing traffic at this crossing. The proposol was dis. cussed in detail and it was desired that it should be brought to the technical committee adain with with model \& also with the modification to integrate the pedestrian movement.

The zovised proposal was submitted by PWD vide letter No.23(270)89-PWD CII/D-VI/89 dated 7.6.89 and was discussed in the EM, DDA's meeting held on 12.6.89. Representation:
(i) A copy of the representation from General Secretary, Transport Centre Welfare Association to LG, Delhi dated 3.3.90 has been forwarded by EE, TCD, ISSI for consideration. In the representation it has been pointed out the following points:
(i) The persons/individuals who are concerned and affected when any bridge/plan is undertaken are not taken into condidence.
(ii) Meeting of the representatives of the Association with the concerned authority/ agency be held where the association cen put forward the problems \& difficulties connected with the proposal of 4 tier Bridge.
(2) CBpy of, the D.O. lettei from General Kanager ISBT DDA to SSW(T) Delhi Adninistration forwarded to FM, DDA \& Commr. (Plg.) dated $10.2 \cdot 90$ has pointed out that:
(i) The direct impact of constraction of flyover on the mevement of vohecle and other business within the Tranowort Centre.
(ii) The proposel approach under the flyover on the wear side 0 the transport centre could be arnoctell along the periphery so as to provide Dhe indeperdant iocess to the printing press of Reilway \& therefore ingress would be provicied to transport centre.
(iii) Organisation of $I N$ \& Outflow of traffic of the Rohtak Road transport centre.
DUAC Approvez: S.E.Circle II PVD(DA) vide letter dated 19.2.90 has forvarded the grade seperator plan which was conceptually approved by DUAC in its meeting held on 18. 10.89 subject to:
(i) Split level structure for pedestrian crossing be provided at the junction/roundabout to fecilitate pedestrian movement which was ohterwise likely to ie adversaly affected due to the flyover \& under pass.
(ii) The proposal be detailed further and submitted alongwith a properly worked out and detail land scape scheme duly taking into account al, the relevent components of intersection \& the immediate vicinity.
Commissioner PIanning Meeting of intersection \& the Immediate vicinity.

A meeting under the chairmanship of Commr. ( $\mathrm{Pl} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}$.) was held on 30th March whore General Manager ISBT was presnnt to disanss on the flyover. It was decided that PWI would consider the representation of Transport Centie Welfare Association I modify the proposal accordingly.

SE, CircleII P:D vide his letter no. 23(27)/90-nWDCII/ D - VI/614 datad 5.4.90 submitted a modified proposal incorperatins recognised antry \& exist point of Transport Centres.

Feasibility Study:
S.E. Circle II PWD vide his letter dated 19.2.90
has conveyed that the final layout is feasible at
the site of the work. No major shifting of pucca
structures are involved and it will be feasible to
shift the services required for construction of
flyover.
DDA Observation:
(i) The study area has not been extended up to tho next junction /intersection of Master plan Roads as decided in the EM,DDA's meeting held on 12.6.9.
Tho case is placed before the Technical Committee for its consideration.

Sub: Preparaitor and implementation of Road Alignment Elans and intersactibn improvement/Grade Seperator Plass in the Eastmbest MallTransit Corridor route from Tilidk Bridge to Najafgarh Road. F. 5 ( $1+3$. $1 / 4 \mathrm{MTP}$ Dt. I. .

Delhi Metro Railway (DMR) study is being carried out by Delhi Jdministration threugh RITES. The study identify the Aligrment of A.R. in Delhi. Its Alignment between Tilak Bridge ana Najafgarh Road/Patel Road intersection from PhasemI of thu DMR. The Draft report is already completed for this section. The proposal of Horizontal Alignment and levels of DVR, station location, area requirement and other necessary provisions are in the process of finalisation. A technical group is preparing utility diversion plans along this corridor. Utility lines need diversion during construction. This group along with traffic police and D.T.C. is also wonking out traffic diversion plans of these corridors during construction period.
2. The roads and intersections falling in DMR Alignment would require serious readjustments including necessary widening, carraige-way restructuring, additional service roads, opening to the Railway Stations, Shafts and ducts for regulatine air flow to the tunnel "and provision for positionining columns whenever D.M.R. is elevated. Road alignment plans and intersection improvement plans including $\varepsilon$ grade seperators for the mentioned route planned so far may need modifications to accommodate D.M.R. along this corridor.
3. The present status of roads and intersections falling in this ali ument is even in the enclosed Table. Some of the road alignment plans are approved earlier by Technical Committee/Authority and few are at various planning stages. Few roads are developed to the full extent as per the approved plans and others are yet to developed or are in the process of development.

However, One common thing with all road Alignments and intersections within this D.M.R. Alignment is that none of the roads is planned taking into account the D.M.R.
Appendiv $B$ w qten No. 3
Table 1-. Status of Road Alignments along the proposed E.W. Corridor of MRTS.

## 

A Draft Alignment Plan was prepared but not yet approvea. Alignment plan was pFepared by No. C/A 372) was approved by the Authority vide Resolution No. 81
dated 4.9 .76 . In 1968 Alignment plan with 150 ft . R/W was approved by Chairman order dated 19.7.68, Later during Asiad
NATPAC prepared an Alignment Plan
Coordination Committee on 7th July, 1981. It was recommended that where widened without affecting/distmabing existing features like Trees, Electric Poles, Telephone Poles, Shops and Compound Wall etc. A revised
submitted by NATPAC vide Drawing No. $\mathrm{MCD} / \mathrm{S}-4$. All intersections falling wi thin stretch are also detailed in the plan. The plan is yet to be
Survey Plan is received in Oct. 89
 prepared.


Sl. Jo. Intersection Tabe1

－ひセ」 cs
1．L6L UT pəredərd əxəM stesodoxd quəuəィcuđur
The inprovemont Plen vas approvec by Authority
vice resolution No．159，Dated： $21 / 10 / 1978$ ．
hensive traffic and transportat finalised．
for Connapeght Place is not yet fin transit will be on stilts．But a compreme
 No specific improvement scheme was pre．
pared for this intersection． vision for cycle／Pedestrian Subways where－－
ever required． out management schemestrian Subways where－ leading to India Gate．NDMC and MCD may work
 discussed in
and in general it was considered that it
may not be desireable to provide a flyover Intersection improvement proposal was Intersection improvement proposal was

| The intorsection design prepared by TCPO vide Drawing No. $\mathrm{C} / 1 \div 304$ was approved by Authority vide resolution No. 182 dated 6.5 .68 Intersection of Link Road, Rani Jhansi Road, and Panchkuian Road is being re-designed as 'T' junction. |
| :---: |
| A. Grade seperator proposal on Faiz Road Ridge road with signalised intersection at ground level was approved by Comordination Committee in its meeting held on 6.7.1982. Due to MRTS corridor, for the time being a signclised rotary is proposed till the MRTS proposal get finelised. |
| These three intersections designs are approved by Technicel Committee on 22.8.80. Further V.C. approved these plans on 27.9 .80 as further powers lelegated to V.C. by the Authority. |

(6) Panchkuian Road/Mandir Marg
road/Linl: Road/Rani Jhansi
Road intersection.
Pusa Road, Ridge Rond, frya

| (6) | Panchkuian Road/Mandir Marg intersection, and Panchkuian road/Link: Road/Rani Jhansi Road intersection. |
| :---: | :---: |
| (7) | Pusa Rosd, Ridge Rond, frya Samaj Road, Feiz Road and Link Roed in tersection. |
| (3) | Intol scction desich of Pusa Road with |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { i. } \quad \text { Canga Ram H spital Marg } \\ & (\text { Drge } \operatorname{No} \cdot \mathrm{DCP}-\mathrm{C} 16-80 / \mathrm{P}-46) . \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ii. Bara Bazor More (Dreg No. DCP-C-16-30/P-44. |
|  | iii. New: Pusa Road (Drg. No. DCP-C-16-80/P-43). |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Underground MRTS is } \\
& \text { is proposed. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Underground MRTS


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

| 1 |
| :--- |
|  |
| 4 |
| 4 | $-d 0-$ ,



Sub : Acquisition of requirement of land measuring 750 mtrs. $\times 550 \mathrm{Mtrs}$. Sor the proposed 600/900 Mot Gas Tumine at Bemana side. F.14(31) $89 / C R C / D D$

This is a case regaring allotment of 75 acres of land for $600 / 900$ MW Gas Turioine station in Bawana. G.M., DESU vide their letter No.DO/GM-9/Civil/3015 at. 27th Aug. 1989 have indicated that this Gas Turbire Sub Station is to be set up on urgent basis tu meet the increasing demand of power in the capital. The exact lccation of the Power Station has jeen transferred on 1:10000 Survey of India sheet with the help of our land deptt. refer map laid on the table. The location has been examined and we have following observations :

1. The $400 / 200 \mathrm{KV}$ Sub Stn, in Bewana was approved by the Technical committee of $D D A$ in its meeting held on 22.8.89 as item No. 26. The proposed Gas Iurbine station is located adjacent to the earlier approved $\leq 00$ KV Bawana Sub Station.
2. National Hydro electric power corporation proposed a 400 KV DC Tower line joining with the proposed 400 KV Sub Station at Bawara. This tewer line was earlier considered by the TC in its meeting held on 27.2.89 as agendaitem No.55. In view of the proposed NHPC line and the existing 400 KV station a undertaking from DESU is required that no readjustment in the proposed 400 KV sub station as well as proposed $600 / 900$ Was Turbine station would be required.
3. DESU while putting up the cese for allotment of land for the proposed $600 / 900 \mathrm{MW}$ Gas Turbine station has not submitted details of the project i.e. network of Gas pipelire and power distribution system etc. The same is required to be known to examine the project from planning point of view.
4. The proposed location $600 / 900$ MW Gas Turbine station as indicated by DESJ falls in the proposed urban extension phase.IV as per the fraft MPD perspective- 2001. In the structure plan the site is carmarked for residential utility purpose.

The case is put up for consideration of the Techniceal Committee and for information th th regerd to Para 2 \& 3 above from DESU.

Item $\mathrm{NO}_{-5}$

- $12=$

Sub:-Integrated freight Complex in Gazipur, Kondli Area.
F.II,S.1(3)/83-Dir.(CP)

Master Plan for Delhi-1962 has proposed a truck terminal of about 20 hect. ( 50 acres) in north of NH-- 24 uye pass (Mandavali Fazalpur area). However, PDP-2001 has pooposed 60 hect. (150 acres) site for this purpose. But considering the dire need of accommodating various group housing societies, part of this area was planned for group housing societies which stands approval by the competent authority, as well as DUAC and also developed at site. Now, only about 19 hect. of land is available in this area as per MPD-1962. Considering that this total site is not sufficient for freight complex, it is necessary to find out/propose an alternate site for the proposed freight complex.
2. Apart from this freight complex, PDP-2001 has also proposed 111 hect. of land for various wholesale markets with warehousing facilities. Details are given below:-

an alternate lead for these wholesele markets also. The total requirement is 171 hect. of land with the following break up:-
i. Truck Terminal - 60 hect.
ii. Fruit \& Vecetable and - 18 hect. food grain.
iii. Taxtile, building mat- - 93 hect. erial, iron \& steel, timber, plastic and leather goods etc.
3. PROPOSAL

It is proposed to integrated these wholesale markets, warehoasing and truck terminal to curtail the movement of heavy vehicles within development. This Integrated freight-cum-wholesale market comples is proposed to be located in the area where it intercept the maximum possible regional goods tnaffic entering Delhi. Keeping this in view following sites have been located and proposed for the development:-
i. Location of wholesale fruit \& vegetable market and food grain.

Considering the existing location of wholesale fish \& market (already approved by the competent authority) adjacent to Gazipur Dairy Farm. It is proposed to locate wholesale fruit \& Vegetable market and food grain market adjacent to this site to have a wholesale market complex surrounded by major road net work. ( 30 hect.)
ii. Location of wholesale market for building material taxtile iron and, steel etc.

There is a chunk of land about 32 hect. ( 82 acres) available between the Gazi Pur village and U.P. Boarder in the north of N.H. 24 byeupass. This area as an extension of automobile training centre. Gazi Pur is most suitable location of propošed wholesale markets.
iii. Location of freight complex:

There is about 77 hect. ( 180 beres) of land
availaile por wos of present Kondii Gharoli complex su nounded by the oposed $45 \mathrm{mt} . / 30 \mathrm{mt}$. roads. This area cas been eamarked as 'Industrial' area as per PDP-2001. In EDP-2001, it was proposed for slaughter house/meat prooersing comp... H. However, that proposal has since seen azoppei. Part of this area has been handed ovec to Ihi dministration. However, considering that there will be no new dustrial development within Delhi Urban area, this area can now be utilised for freight corpless and some oticer related uses. 4. Conceptual plan for integrated frieht complex-cumwhole ale markets.
There are basically 3 large pookets for 3 distinct uses as proposed above. These pockets are divided by NH-24 bye-pass, and Hiridon Canal. Considering the functional relationships oftween these pockets, it is necessary to develop an integrated circulation system for the entire area. It is, therefore, proposed to have two under passes on $\mathrm{NH}-2$.
(i) Extension 45 mv .cirvular road upto road no. 56 undew passing thior, Nh NE-24.
(ii) Extension of 30 mt . road proposed in the approved plan of Gezi Pur fish $\&$ egg market, upto proposed
45 mt road underpassing though NH-24.
These two roads ili sinter connect these pockets in terms of circulation. I.t is also proposed to have ' 'only left tirn circulation system in this proposed insegrated complex, as this area will attract lits of trucking activitics fr various national routes. The proposal is shown on cooy of plan at Flag- 'B'. 5. Folloping actions aze to be taken:-
i. Approval of the location of freight complex, wholesale druit and vegetable market and wholesale building material markets.
ii. Approval of conceptual circulation system.
iii. Recommendation for initiating change of landuse.
iv. Requests to be made by the Lands Department, DDA to Industries Department, De Ihi Acmn. for revertine back the land near Kondli Gharoli Complex (Si.No. (iii) in the para-3 of proposal).
v. Preparation of sketch leyout plans for each of 6 complexes to determine the built up area, parking area and other development controls atc. and the detailed circulation net work to be done in house with additional staff/unit and /of by the consultants appointed for this job.
vi. Detailed architectural designs of individual complexes by different consultants.
vii. Co-ordination \& monitoring of this projectrequirement of additionel staff.
6. The proposal is placed before the Technical Comittee for approval.

## Item No. 6

Sub: Comprehensive Redevohomic nt Scheme at Janpeth Lane File 10 . $\operatorname{Fi}$ (89) 81-MP

The schene covers e resuitant ree of 2.18 h and * is bounded by Janpeth in the Eest, Indien Oil Bhewen in the Norti. Norendr Plece and Jantar Manter Complex in the west and 60 ft . wide linking roed in the South. This lend comprises of 5 main plots one of which is sub-divided into Nine sub-olots.

The proposal has five multi-storeyed blocks ranging from 8 to 12 storeyes and the 8 storeyed part of the blocks is facing the Jantar Mantar Side. The total"floor area of the scheme is about 49500 sqm 'on' the besis of 227 FAR. The pronosal is based on $25 \%$ ground coverage, parking is proposed in 3 basements for 1179 cers with no parking on surface. Initially the scheme wes conceived in 1982 end was consequently epproved by Authority in 1983. Since then it has wndergone a number of modifications owing to factors like traffic circuletion and shedjws falling on the monument of Jantar Mentar.

Now the proposal has takon cere of most of these fectors and the revised scheme ( all previous stages and development are given in the znnexure, laid on the table) is put up to the rechnical Committee for approval in principle subject to the following conditions:

1. Certificẹtion regarding shadows from CBRI
ii. Cleerance from Archeulogicel Survey of India.

Sub:-Acquisition of permanent land and temporary land for minor No. $2 \cdots 3-4 \cdots 5 \cdots-7$ and extension of keshopur Minor under the scheme of keshopur Effluent Irrigation scheme phase-III.

## E. 14 (23)/89/CRC/DDA

We have received a PUC from Chief Engineer, Irrigation \& Folld, Delhi Admn. datcd 18.6.89 regarding the subject cited abve for acquisition of 36.34 acre land for construction of permenent minors and 998 acre for construction of temporary minors in keshopur area. The land so dosired falls in the revenue state of viilage kakarwala Jaffarpur and Neelwal in West Delhi.
2. The case has been examined and we have following observations.
i) Keshopur effluent irrigation scheme was initially drawn in 1969 with a view to use treatment plant effluent for irrigating land adjoining it. During the years as the capacity of plant increascd, the proposal is for utilising about 85 cusee of waste water for irrigation of 3600 acre of land as part of phase-III programne. The work includes constructi8n of main channels and R.C.C. pipes for minor and distribution system in the command area. Als there is a programme for construction of pump house, staff quarters, stores offices and inspection huts etc. as part of this proposal.
ii) The area proposed for acquistion as part of this scheme partly falls within the proposed urban extention phase-II (A) as per the draft structure plen of urban extension submitted to the Ministry refer plan laid on the table.
iii) The other points which requires consideration are:
a. It is not indicated in the proposal that earlier two(2) phases i.e. phase-I and phase-II have been sulmitted to DDA and the sam are approved.
b. In a similar case i.c. for Rithala Sewage treatment plant the effluent irrigation scheme was rejected by DDA in view of likely acquisition of the area as part of large scale acquisition in planned urban extension.
c. DDA: may consider approval of the part of phase-III scheme which is beyond the proposed urban extension and may request Delhi Admn. to further extend the some towards the west between the proposed urban extension and the boundary of Delhi Union Territery if so necessary.
3. The case is put up for consideration of the Technical Comittee with regard to para 2 above.

## IJem NO. 3

Sub:-Change of land usc of one hect. from district parks, playround open spaces to Rosidential for construc-. tion of Sluw staff housin; at Baljact Vacar. F.SIum $3(26) 83-\operatorname{ir} \cdot(\mathrm{CF})$

Beckground: There is a proposel of construction of 184 slum steff housing anits with = break up of 56 of 35 sq.mt., 43 of 55 sq.mt. and 29 of $75 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{int}$. in trienguler lot of one hect. obutting on road $n=.89$ linking petel Road and new Rohtek Road in zone $B-6$, surrounded by Dolhi Milk Scheme end prem Neger on one side and Baljitt $N$ agar group of unautorised regularised colonies on other side.
2. Lend use: This one hect. plot of lend is out of a large area whose land use as per Mp-62 is district parks, playgr und and open speces, but most of the area hes been built up by group of Baljit Neger uncuthorised regularised colonies, except this smell pocaet of about one hect. which is under the ownership of slum wing, DDA.

In dreft persoective Develoment Plent - 2001, this entire erea
hes been shown as nart of 'Speciel area' due to its charecteristics of heavily built unon with high residenticl density develonment. In the draft zonal plan of the aree of zone B-6 which was approved long back, this pocket was shown pertly for Delhi Milk Scheme and pertly for fecilities. Delhi Milk Scheme campus hes elready been con. structed end in port of the land, Slum deptt. has constructed a community hall, as such, belance of the area of one hect. is ear. merked for the construction of steff housing.
3. Eerlier Decision of the Technicrl committee: DDA Vide resolution no. 102 in the year 1988, modified the aoproved elignment of Master plen road no. 89 connecting patel. Roed with New Rohtak Road and to accommorate staff housing project of slum deott.
4. proposal: The complex has been designed besed on the following six principles:

1. The site is very long end narrow one, As such, it has been tried to give vision of the road from most of the flats.
2. The cree hes been divided into 3 segments. The midale one for e small convenient shopping centre and one towards left for flets of higher cetugory i.e. of 55 end $75 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mt}$. cetegory Fnd the second towerds right of flets of tho lower cetegory i.e. 25 \& $35 \mathrm{sg} . \mathrm{mt}$.
3. Fil the flots have torraces for sleening purposes/outdcor activities.
4. All the flats are in north south oriontation to get orooer sun light.
5. Vehiculer treffic has been segreg-ted from pedestrain movement es shown in the plen.
6. A special service roed hes been pr vided to give vorizus accesses to the complex.
Statement of land use break up is is under:
Totel ares of the plot 10000 sq.mt.
Area of plot for conveneint Shonping

1000 sq.mt.
Frea of plot for housing
Pronosed griund floor coverage
Totel covered eree (FAR)
FAR
Density

112
204 DUs per hect. or 82 DUs per ecre.

Besides this, 30 shops esch of $13 \mathrm{sq} . m \mathrm{~m}$. and two ifficies each of $100 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mt}$. with one Electirc sub station, one Dheleo and one milk booth heve elso been provided.

Two alternatives (i) two blocks of 10 storyed towards left of the shopping centre end other blocks of 4 storeyed towerds right of the shopping centre; (ii) all the blocks of 4 storyed with shopoing centre; wes prepared and put up in the screening committee. Details of the project were discussed in the Screening committee meeting woxd thrice and finell the plan which has been put up for considuration wi. the technical Committee was approved.
5. Comments of DUAC: The project was considered by DUHC end they vide their letter no. 22(30)89-DUAC dt. 11 th sept. 1989 intimated, thet first the cese of chenge of land use from distt. park, playground and open speces to residential be recommened by the Technicel Committee and eooroved by the comotent authority. DUZC also suggested that this niece of land with rugged landscape shoudd preferably be developed es bark for the surrounding develonments and that the sie under consideration was apparantly unsuitable for the develonment of a housing complex keeping in view the adjecent mejor roed and the low level of the pocket of lend in reletionship to the roed.
6.proosel for considuration $o^{-}$tho Tichnic al committee: There … is uneuthnrised colnizotion all hround this pocket af ne hect., sว it would be herculean task $t$ here this nacky stretch of lend protscted. The Slum Dcott. wart to put un some stoff housing in order, so $s$ s t or-tact this nocket frem uncuthorisad colonization zt oresent, satisfaction lovol of stfff housing in Slum Deptt, is negligible, Keeping this pint int o msialeration, Tech. Committee mey kindly consiaer and aoor ove chinge f lend us= of one hect. pocket frum disut. perk, pleygr und, pon spaces tiresidentiel.

This pocket is bounded by roid ni. 89 (linking petel Roid and new Rohtak Road) oni ne side and gronp of Beljit Magar unduthoris d regularised colonies on other two sidas. m cooy of the 1 xetion olon has been olaced in the file.
7. The item is olaced before the Tech. committee for eporsval.

Sub :- Construction of building for Delhi Association of Deaf and Dumbincrease in FAR
F. $2(103) / 77$ Instl./Pt.

A plot of land measuring about 771 sq.mtrs. was allotted to the Association at Masjidmooth. The possession washended over and lease deed was executed and registered on 6.5.81. The Association could not start the construction due to high pressure from the local residents who also started. legal proceedings against the change of land use. So an alternative plot bearing NO. C-12, has been allotted to the Association at South of I.I.T. This plot is smaller in size i.e. $730 \mathrm{sq} . y d \mathrm{~s}$. against the earlier plot area of 771.08 sq . mtrs. The association is, therefore, approaching for increase in FAR so that they may house their activities in this smaller plot.
2. Generally the FAR for institutional is 100 and no increase in the FAR is generally permissible but in this case since no alternate plot of 1000 sq.yds. is avaiable, the Association has requested for an increase in $F R R$ to 140 instead of 100. Keeping in view the requirements of Association the FAR of 140 instead of 100 may be allowed subject to the following :
i) Necessary proportionate cost of the land may be charged.
i) The building design should be within the height restriction of $80^{\prime}$ and meximum ground coverage $33-1 / 3 \%$
3. for its consideration.

Sub: Construction of police post in Bawena as pert of the facilities $t$ be provided in the growth centre at Bewana
F. $\varepsilon(5) 89 / \mathrm{MF}$

1. The case for no objection for construction of a police post in Bewan with 15 dwelling units for its necess-ry staff on a piece of land measuring 2607 sq.mt. in village Bewana north west Delhi was sent to us by the Delhi police for grant of N ) objection.
2. This case was earlier discussed in the Technical Committee mecting helà on 21.7.1989 wherein it was decided that a composite plon be prepared by the DDA and the proposals for police post be examined/accommodated as part of the same. 3. Village Bawana is on the periphery of the proposed urbanisable limit 2001 refer plan laid on the table. Village Bawana is one of the proposed growth centre in the draft MPD perspective-2001. The growth centres are proposed to be upgraded for locating major health facilities, market in the rurel industrial estate and releted commercial centres. The existing pockets of Gaon Sabha lands in the village Bawana have also been identified based on the information supplied by the office of the Development Commissioner (Delhi). Refer plan laia on the table.
3. Leyout plan in 34 hect. of land on the west of village abadi was prepared to eccommodate police station, bus depot, fire station, electric sub-station and rural indastrial estates with 2000 sq.mtr. plots. This was discussed with Jt.Dir.(T)/ Commr.(plg.), DDA and it was decided that in the urgency of allotment for site for police station the area under public/ semi public facilities as shown in the plan laid on the table be put up for consideration in the Technical Committee. The same has been done and the plan is laid on the table for consideration. It may be nuted that out of 34 hact. of land about 17 hect. of land shall have to be acquired/consolidated for developing this project. The proposal shall benefit the villagers and shall protect the likely unauthorised ocupation/encroachmont if the existing Goan Sabha pockets in the village.

In viow of the obscrvations given above the cese is placed before the Technical Committee for consideration of para No. 4 abuve.

