

**DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
[BUDGET SECTION]**

No.F.4(3)91/Performance Budget/2nd Quarter/2009-10/ 28

Dt. 20.1.10

Sub: Minutes of the meeting of Monitoring Committee on DDA's Performance Budget for the Period 1.4.2009 to 30.9.2009 held on 30.12.09.

A meeting of the Monitoring Committee constituted to review the Performance Budget of DDA for the aforesaid period was held on 30.12.2009.

Members/Officials present were:

1. Sh.Nand Lal, FM
2. Sh.A.K.Bajaj, EM
3. Sh.Naseeb Singh, MLA
4. Sh.Sudesh Kumar Bhasin, Councillor, MCD
5. Sh.Rajiv Pandey, CAO
6. Sh.Ramesh Chandra, CE(Rohini)
7. Sh.A. K. Nigah CE(NZ)
8. Sh.S.R.Solanki,CE(DWK)
9. Sh. R.K.Agrawal, CE(HQ)
10. Sh.S.K.Bhatia, Project Manager(Flyover)
11. Sh.D.Sarkar, D(LM)HQ
12. Sh V.S. Jayant, SE(East Zone)
13. Sh.K.R.Hans, Dy.CAO(Plan)
14. Sh.A.K.Datta, Sr.A.O.(Budget)
15. Sh. R.V.Garg, A.O.(Budget)

FM,DDA welcomed the Members of the Authority present in the meeting. The Chief Accounts Officer informed that the performance budget is compiled pursuant to the directions of the authority for review by the Monitoring Committee. After it has been reviewed by the Monitoring Committee, it is placed before the authority.

While presenting the summary of receipts during the period 1.4.2009 to 30.9.2009, it was informed that a sum of Rs. 810.86 Crore would be realised during 2009-10 from disposal of houses. Therefore, a provision of this much amount was kept in BE 2009-10. On pro-rata basis upto September,2009 a sum of Rs. 405.43 Crore should have been realized but the actual amount realized

The CAO further informed that in respect of receipt from disposal of land a budget provision of Rs. 2813.13 Crore was kept in BE 2009-10. On pro-rata basis upto September,2009 a sum of Rs. 1406.56 Crore should have been realized. However, against this the amount actually realized was Rs. 228.30 Crore due to non disposal of land and the shortfall in receipt was Rs. 1178.26 Crore.

Explaining the position with regard to expenditure under development of land it was pointed out that as per the norms prescribed by EM/DDA an expenditure of Rs. 435.41 Crore should have been incurred during the period under review i.e. April,2009 to September,2009. Against this upto September,2009 the expenditure incurred was Rs. 221.75 Crore and the shortfall in achieving the target was 49.07%.

It was further pointed out that upto Septemeber,2009 as per the norms prescribed by EM/DDA an expenditure of Rs. 344.43 Crore should have been incurred for construction of houses and shops. Whereas the actual expenditure incurred was Rs. 137.15 Crore only. Thus the shortfall observed in incurring the expenditure under this activitiy was to the extent of 60.18%.

CAO further referred to the details of on going schemes under Nazul Account wherein the shortfall in achieving the target was on the higher side.

Review of schemes under Nazul Account-II:-

(i) On the performance of the scheme "Development of land in Sector 29 and 30 Rohini (Part land available)" the Chief Engineer (RZ) explained that the amount provided in the budget could not be utilized as plots for registrants of 1981 Scheme could not be carved out because the Planning Wing was not able to finalise the layout/site plan of the area.

(ii) In respect of scheme "Construction of 100 mtr. R/w UER II connecting it with canal to Railway Line to Mundka NH-10" no expenditure has been incurred. It was explained by the concerned Chief Engineer that the work could not commence as the land had not been acquired as yet and the matter was taken up with CLM for early acquisition of the same. FM/DDA stated that when the land

(iii) In respect of scheme Development of land at Sector G-2 and G-6 Narela sub city no expenditure was noticed to have been incurred against the budget provision of Rs. 5.00 Crore kept in BE 2009-10. It was explained by the Chief Engineer(NZ) that the work under the scheme could not be undertaken as PE had not been sanctioned as yet.

(iv) For the work Development of 1769.88 hact of land at Pappankalan (Dwarka) Ph-I the expenditure incurred was very less. The Chief Engineer(Dwk) informed that revised AA/ES to this scheme had been accorded recently and one road work had been awarded and two works were likely to be awarded within one month.

(v) The Chief Engineer (Dwarka) informed that no expenditure could be incurred in the scheme "C/o 100 Mtr. Express Highway from NH-10 Rohtak Road to Bakkarwala" as only a part of the land was available and therefore, work could not commence.

(vi) In respect of "C/o Flyover inter section of 100 M wide road (UER 2) and Rohtak Road near Mundka" it was explained by the concerned Engineer that budget provision could not be utilized because the alignment had not been finalized by the consultant since FCI godowns were in between. The budget provision was kept for making payment to railways.

(vii) As regards scheme of "D/o Land between Arya Nagar & Jagriti Nagar CHBS Ltd.at Karkardooma" it was informed that expenditure in this scheme could not be incurred because the land was under occupation of DMRC till 30.6.2009 and layout plan for development of the area was yet to be approved by the Architecture Wing.

Review of Scheme under BGDA:-

(i) Further the Schemes under the head BGDA were also discussed. CAO pointed out that in the schemes C/o CWG Village near Akshar Dham temple (SH:- C/o Swimming Pool etc.) an expenditure of Rs. 29.40 Crores was to be incurred upto September,2009. Against this the expenditure incurred was Rs. 7.13 Crore only. EM/DDA informed that corrective action had been taken and the work on the project would be completed in time.

- (ii) For the C/o 240 MIG MS G-10(E) Bakarwala no expenditure was reported to have been incurred. It was informed by the SE(EZ) that approval of CFO, DPCC and DUAC had been received. The Architectural drawing had also been received and the estimates of the work were under preparation.
- (iii) For the C/o LIG Houses in Sect.14, Dwarka, Ph-II on turnkey basis the amount kept in budget provision was not utilised and it was informed by CE(Dwk) that this work was assigned and had been awarded on 7.10.2009 and the contractor has since started the work.
- (iv) Chief Engineer(Rohini) informed that the work of "C/o 500 EWS houses in Secto.IV Extn. Rohini" had been awarded in the 2nd week of December,2009 and the work would start in January, 2010.
- (v) CE (NZ) informed that "C/o EWS at Village Siraspur" were earlier part of 50000 houses to be constructed under JNNURM scheme but subsequently these houses had been segregated and were being taken up separately and NIT was under process.
- (vi) For the work of "C/o SFS/MIG/LIG houses near Spinal injury hospital Vasant kunj" it was informed that work had been awarded in one of the groups in the scheme and structural drawings issued to the agency. In another group tenders have been invited on 26.12.2009, and tenders are being examined.
- (vii) Project Manager|(Flyover) informed that in respect of work "C/o Flyover under Lot-II(Grade separator)" the budget provision was kept for making payment of Arbitration Award which has been challenged in the High Court. The court case is still pending. Therefore, no expenditure has been incurred.
- (viii) The Project Manager further informed that in the scheme three nos remaining Clover Leaves at Noida More flyover the tenders for the main work could not be awarded due to none availability of U.P Land.
- (ix) The Project Manager further informed that in the scheme three nos remaining Clover Leaves at Noida More flyover the tenders for the main work could not be awarded due to none availability of U.P Land.
- (x) He further informed that the work "C/o Two Clover leaves at Karkaria More and widening of existing bridge on turn" expenditure could not be incurred because the feasibility constraints has been resolved and drawings have been prepared, estimates are under preparation and tenders are likely to be called very shortly.

(xi) In r/o of works providing additional facilities at "C/o of Mega Houses at D-6 Vasant Kunj" and another work providing additional facilities at C/o 860/830 houses at D-6 Vasant Kunj it was informed that the works are in progress and would get completed by 31.3.2010.

While concluding the meeting FM/EM, DDA suggested that in the budget a token provision should be kept in respect of such schemes wherein site is not available, AA & ES has not been accorded by the competent Authority, drawings etc. are not available. This is required for realistic presentation of budget. All the concerned Chief Engineers and officials have been directed to comply strictly with this advisory in future

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair and all the Members of the Committee.

This issues with the approval of FM/EM, DDA.


Chief Accounts Officer
11/3/2010

1. Finance Member
2. Engineer Member
3. Dr. Harsh Vardhan, MLA
4. Sh. Subhash Chopra, MLA
5. Sh. Naseeb Singh, MLA
6. Sh. Sudesh Kumar Bhasin, Councillor, MCD
7. Sh. Rajesh Gehlot, Councillor, MCD
8. Commissioner (Housing)/DDA
9. OSD to VC for kind information of the latter
10. Chief Engineer (HQ), (EZ), (NZ), (SZ), (RZ), (DWK), (Elect.), CWG-2010
11. Project Manager (Flyover)/DDA
12. Director (LM)/DDA
13. Director (Works)/DDA
14. Director (Commercial Land)