

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY  
[BUDGET SECTION]

No.F.4(3)Budget/Performance Budget/2007-08/ 176

dt:- 16/9/08

**Sub: Minutes of the meeting of Monitoring Committee on DDA's Performance Budget for the period 1.1.08 to 31.03.08 held on 08.09.08.**

A meeting of the Monitoring Committee constituted to review the Performance Budget of DDA for the aforesaid period was held on 08.09.08.

Members/Officials present were:

1. Sh.Nand Lal, FM
2. Sh.B.K.Chugh, EM
3. Sh.Shekhar Dey, CE(SEZ)
4. Sh.V.K.Panchal, CE(SWZ)
5. Sh.N.L.Singh, CE(NZ)
6. Sh.Ramesh Chandra, CE(Rohini)
7. Sh.S.R.Solanki,CE(DWK)
8. Sh.D.D.Sharma, CE(EZ)
9. Sh.Rajiv Pandey, CAO
10. Sh.R.D.Sharma,Dir.(Finance)
11. Sh.K.R.Hans, Dy.CAO(Plan)
12. Sh.S.N.Bansal, Jt.CAO(SWZ)
13. Smt.Kiran Seth, FO to CE(Elect.)
14. Sh.A.K.Datta, Sr.AO(Budget)

Initiating the discussion on the Performance Budget of DDA in respect of 4th quarter of the year, CAO, DDA informed that the meeting was being held pursuant to the directions of the Authority to review progress and achievements of various Engineering works.

FM, DDA stated that we are meeting amongst ourselves to discuss the huge gap between Revised Estimates and actuals for the year 2007-2008. FM explained that on receipt side there was no problem as against receipts of Rs.5,400.97 crs. projected in RE 2007-08 the actual receipt was Rs.6,312.13 crs. On the payment side under different activities a provision of Rs.1831.68 crs. was projected in RBE 2007-08 against which an expenditure of Rs.1073.30 crs. was actually incurred upto 31.3.08.

FM, DDA explained that under development of land, a provision of Rs.805.80 crs. was originally projected in BE 2007-08 and was further revised downwards to Rs.561.94 crs. in RE 2007-08. Even then, the Engineering Wing could only incur an expenditure of Rs.441.74 crs. as against Rs.561.94 crs. This indicates that revised figures kept in RE 2007-08 under this activity was still on higher side, which resulted in short fall in expenditure of Rs.121.20 crs.

Likewise, for construction of houses and shops, a provision of Rs.497.00 crs. was kept in BE 2007-08 which was subsequently revised to Rs.188.74 crs. in RE 2007-08. However, the actual expenditure under this activity during last year upto March 2008 was Rs.121.82 crs. Thus there is a short fall in incurring expenditure to the extent of Rs.56.92 crs. which indicates that the revised targets have not been achieved.

FM, DDA further stated that in both the above activities budget was not rationalized and actual requirement was not projected correctly while compiling budget estimates at the Zonal level which ultimately led to higher provision of budget in the year 2008-09 and pledging of money for a period of 8 to 9 months. He pointed out that normally the provision proposed in revised budget estimates should be on higher side, but in DDA it was reverse and the experience shows that in the revised budget estimates, the provisions are decreased and are generally less than original budget estimates.

EM, DDA stated that there could be difficulty in specialized works of construction of bridges, flyovers, tunnels and dams etc. but there should be no problem in construction of houses. In case there was any problem, the same should be looked into merits of the case to ascertain what went wrong. He further stated that we are introspecting DDA's performance and should double up our efforts so that something fruitful emerges. He advised that Chief Engineers in DDA should adopt the norms of expenditure prevalent in PWD & CPWD and spend during the year whatever expenditure they project in the budget estimates. He further emphasized that it may be ensured that the shortfall in expenditure may not exceed 5% with reference to the budget estimates. The responsibility of realistic projection of expenditure in the budget estimates of the Authority will be of the concerned Chief Engineer of the zone. He advised that in the next meeting Chief Engineers should bring the details of the budget allocated to their zones alongwith expenditure incurred so that progress of works could be assessed. Besides this he also desired that system of supervision of works by SEs in respect of Offices of Executive Engineers should be started.

The schemewise short fall was discussed by the EM with the concerned Chief Engineer of the Zones. EM advised the CEs to initiate timely action wherever required for rescinding the works and in obtaining prior permission from other departments for smooth execution of works in DDA.

This issues with the approval of FM /EM DDA.



Chief Accounts Officer

Copy to:

1. Engineer Member,
2. Finance Member
3. Sh.Mahabal Mishra, MLA,
4. Sh.Rajesh Gehlot, Councilor, MCD
5. Chief Engineer(HQ),(EZ),(NZ),(SEZ),(SWZ),(RZ),(DWK)
6. OSD to VC for kind information of the latter.
7. Director(Fin.)/DDA
8. Dy.CAO(Plan)
9. Jt.CAO(SWZ)
10. FO to CE(Elect.)